Challenge Partnerships

Partnerships in NRM PROSPECT Course





L<u>EAR</u> BULKHEADS CAN BE CKS & DAM

ESTRESSED CONCRETE

"The views, opinions and findings contained in this report are those of the authors(s) and should not be construed as an official Department of the Army position, policy or decision, unless so designated by other official documentation."





What is a Challenge Partnership?

- It's an agreement between the government and a private organization or business or other non-federal agency.
- Challenge Partnership agreements can be made with international partners.
- CP agreements can be made with public/private entities.
- Not as rigid as traditional cost sharing.



Also not to be confused with Project Cooperation Agreements





Authorities

- 33 USC 2328, Water Resources Development Act of 1992, Section 225
 - Section 225 of this law authorized the Secretary of the Army to enter into agreements with <u>non-Federal</u> public and private entities to provide for operation and/or management and development of recreation facilities and natural resources at water resource development projects <u>where such facilities are</u> <u>being maintained at Federal expense</u>.
 - This also authorized the Secretary of the Army to accept contributions of funds, materials, and services from <u>non-Federal</u> public and private entities.
 - It does not authorize the Corps to give funds, materials, services to the partner.





Policy and Procedures

 ER/EP 1130-2-500, dated 27 December 1996 revised 1 June 2006 Project Operations - Partners and Support (Work Management Guidance and Policies)

Chapter 12, Challenge Partnerships

- Appendix U, Sample Challenge Partnerships Agreement
 This applies for both Appendices U and T. In 2002, the name of
 the Challenge Cost sharing Program was changed to the
 Challenge Partnership Program.
- Until the regulation is updated, the examples cannot be changed. When drafting one of these documents, please replace the word "Cost sharing" with "Partnership"





What can a Challenge Partnership Do?

- Help you accomplish any task that is part of your 5-year Operations Management Plan (OMP)
- Leverage resources normally not considered under typical operations due to budget constraints.
- Foster a sense of community among your project and the surrounding community.





Richard B Russell/Outdoor Dream Foundation Hunting Blinds







CHALLENGE PARTNERSHIP FAQS

Q. What is the difference between a Contribution and a Challenge Partnership?

A. Contributions are funds, materials, equipment and/or services given to the Corps and must meet the requirements of a project-level OMP and a contributions plan. Contributions become Corps property without further participation by the contributor.

Challenge partnerships allow <u>through a formal agreement</u> the acceptance of funds, materials, and services to accomplish specific recreation and resource work programs. Partners may be involved in the management of the work project.

Services (labor) accepted through either program are counted as Volunteers and are captured in OMBIL in the Annual Recreation Data Wizard and the Annual Partnerships Wizard.





CHALLENGE PARTNERSHIP FAQS

Q. Is a Challenge Partnership Agreement the same thing as a Handshake Agreement?

A. No. The Handshake Partnership Program is a specific incentive program developed by HQUSACE to encourage partnerships by providing national funding through a competitive process.


When the Handshake Program commenced in 2004, all Handshake partnerships required a Challenge Partnership Agreement. This often led to confusion and erroneous use of the terms interchangeably.

All Handshake Partnerships must have a Challenge Partnership Agreement, but not all Challenge Partnership Agreements are part of the Handshake Program.





CHALLENGE PARTNERSHIP AND THE HANDSHAKE PROGRAM







CHALLENGE PARTNERSHIP FAQS

- Q. Are Challenge Partnerships a type of cooperative agreement that must be administered by a grants officer?
- A. Challenge partnership agreements are NOT cooperative agreements as that term is used in the Federal Grant and Cooperative Agreement Act of 1977, 31 U.S.C. 6305 (FGCAA), nor are they subject to the DOD regulations governing cooperative agreements, including the requirement for execution by a certified grants officer.

Although Sec 225 of 33 USC 2328 uses the term cooperative agreement, it involves a type of transaction not covered by the FGCAA. A cooperative agreement under the FGCAA involves <u>transfer</u> of funds (or other items) from the Federal Government to a non-Federal entity. Conversely, a challenge partnership agreement involves the <u>acceptance of funds</u>, materials, and services by the Federal Government.





CHALLENGE PARTNERSHIP FAQS

Q. Are Challenge Partnership Agreements the same thing as traditional cost-sharing agreements?

A. No, Traditional cost-sharing agreements, which were authorized by Public Law 89-72 require a minimum of 50% partner funding for recreational management and 25% for fish and wildlife enhancement; administration of the lands and waters by the partner; and all costs of operation, maintenance, and replacement by the partner.

Under the WRDA 1992 authority for challenge partnerships, there is <u>no fixed</u> <u>rate of cost share</u>. Flexible percentages are determined by mutual agreement between the Corps and the partners. <u>Roles of each entity are also flexible</u>. The Corps operates the area under the partnership under a flexible agreement. Work may involve multiple partners. Challenge partnership agreements are also NOT the same as Project Cooperation Agreements, or Economy Act Orders.





Differences Between Traditional Cost Sharing and Challenge Partnerships

Traditional Cost Sharing	Challenge Partnerships
PL 89-72	PL 102-580*
Fixed % (Normally 50%)	Flexible rate determined by mutual agreement
Rigid roles	Flexible roles
Can accept real estate from sponsor	Cannot accept real estate from partner
Sponsor operates	Corps operates
Cost share for any business function	Only Recreation & Natural Resources
Program management has lead	Operations has lead
Formal contract	Flexible agreement
Corps \$ year by year	Funds must be available in advance from operating
	funds. Way to stretch available funds.
Work accomplished over several fiscal years	Work generally accomplished during one fiscal year
Cost share only with non-Federal governmental	Cost share with non-Federal governmental and
entities	private entities
Normally with only one sponsor	May involve multiple sponsors on the same work
	activity

How to Create a Challenge Partnership Agreement

- Check the NRM Gateway
- Templates are posted
- The agreement needs to be signed at the appropriate level *(Reference ER 1130-2-500, Ch 12-2.k)
 - \$1 \$25,000: Operations Project Manager
 - \$25,001 \$200,000: Chief of Operations
 - \$200,001+: District Commander





Let's take a closer look at the CPA format:

https://corpslakes.erdc.dren.mil/employees/challenge/partner.cfm

CHALLENGE PARTNERSHIP AGREEMENT BETWEEN THE DEPARTMENT OF THE ARMY AND EXAMPLE modify as appropriate

THIS AGREEMENT, entered into this day of ____, 20____, by and between the Department of the Army (hereinafter the "Government"), represented by the District Commander, U.S. Army Engineer District _____, and _____, (hereinafter the "Partner"), represented by _____.

WITNESSETH, THAT:

WHEREAS, the Government manages lands and waters at Beautiful Lake which includes recreational opportunities for the public, and

WHEREAS, the installation of an accessible fishing platform at Scenic Recreation Area on Beautiful Lake will increase the recreational opportunities for the public, and

WHEREAS the Partner is interested in promoting and assisting the Government in providing this accessible fishing platform, and

WHEREAS, it is mutually beneficial to the Government and the Partner to work cooperatively to make this accessible fishing platform available to the public, and

WHEREAS the Partner in order to assist the Government in this project has voluntarily

Common Elements in a CPA

- 'Whereas' statements that describe the partnership, the authorities, and the mutually beneficial nature of the agreement
- Definitions and general provisions
- Obligations of the parties (What each will do)
- Method of payment
- Dispute resolution
- Federal and state laws
- Relationship of the parties
- Officials not to benefit
- Indemnification (Partner will not hold the gov't liable. This safeguards USACE from the risk of an Anti Deficiency Act violation, whereby we are potentially obligated to pay claims for which we have no adequate source of funds.)
- Termination
- Notices (points of contact)
- Confidentiality
- Signatures





A Note About the Indemnification Clause

In the event that the partner is unwilling to sign the CPA due to the indemnification clause (State entities often have issues with this), a remedy that has worked in the past in some districts is to include one of the following:

- "Nothing in this agreement should be construed as limiting the rights and obligations as Grantee hereunder from pursuing a claim as allowable under the Federal Tort Claims Act."
- Liability: Corps shall be liable, to the extent allowed by the Federal Tort Claims Act (28 U.S.C. § 2671 et seq.), for claims for property damage and personal injury resulting from the negligent acts or wrongful act or omission of any Corps employee while acting within the scope of his employment arising out of the activities described herein. The Partner shall be liable, to the extent allowed by the Federal Tort Claims Act, for claims for property damage and personal injury resulting from the negligent acts or wrongful act or omission of any corps employee of his employment arising out of the activities described herein. The Partner shall be liable, to the extent allowed by the Federal Tort Claims Act, for claims for property damage and personal injury resulting from the negligent acts or wrongful act or omission of any Partner employee while acting within the scope of his employment arising out of activities described herein.

We have also had issues with other entities wanting us to procure insurance. We've inserted a provision reflecting that we are essentially self-insured in those agreements to satisfy the partner.

US Army Corps of Engineers *







Lake Lanier and BASS: Little Hall Fishing Tournament Complex

- Pre-CPA: Popular tournament fishing site with inadequate facilities
- Post-CPA: Sheltered pavilion, 3 fish holding tanks with water pumped aeration, seating for 150 spectators, a large courtesy mooring dock, a leader board, and a display area for tournament sponsors and vendors.
- The Corps was able to construct this new facility by accepting more than \$30,000 worth of building materials from various donors.
- Donors are acknowledged at the site on the tournament leader board and also on stamped bricks.



Dworshak Dam and Reservoir: Training Assistance Program

- CPA with Juvenile Correction Center (JCC)- Lewiston, ID
- JCC provides a Trail Crew Maintenance Training Program



- Corps provides materials for improvement projects such as benches, bridges, tools, and logistical support
- JCC provides a Trail Crew Maintenance Training Program
- Corps provides materials for improvement projects such as benches, bridges, tools, and logistical support to deliver materials.
- Program provides participants the opportunity to learn specific outdoor skills and environmental awareness while providing the community with a valuable service.
- Training opportunities: CPR, map/compass skills, GPS









John H. Kerr Reservoir Kayak/Canoe Launch

- CPA Roanoke River Basin Association
- Also involved Virginia Tourism Corporation, veterans organizations, and donations from private businesses and state grant funds.
- CPA provided design and construction of accessible canoe/kayak launch in the tailrace area, interpretive signs, and parking access



of Engineers





Carlyle Lake Multi-User Trail

- CPA City of Carlyle, IL DOT, IL Department of Natural Resources
- Series of challenge partnership agreements and DOT grants.
 Connected City of Carlyle trails with Corps property.
 - 2004: Street lighting on Lake Road (\$13,808)
 - 2006: Path upgrades and extension (\$47,600)
 - 2008: Trail extension along Rt 127 and Lake Rd (\$241,870)
 - 2011: Signs and markings, outdoor interp display, map, benches, bike racks (\$24,800)





Little Rock District- Beaver Lake Prairie Creek Tournament Weigh-In Station





How to Develop an Agreement:



Grants/Alternative Funding Sources

FAOs

- The hard part has been done for you!
- Check the NRM Gateway website
- Look at the Partners tab





of Engineers *

How to Keep Your RM and OC Happy

- Involve Office of Counsel and Resource Management early in the process...*EARLY*!
- Make sure you include copies of sections of the ER, EP, and Public Laws that pertain to any questions they may have.
- Remember that our lawyers have a wide variety of subject matters that they must be 'fluent' in. They may not be familiar with all of our NRM partnership specific authorities.
- Don't forget to run your proposed projects through Planning for NEPA compliance early in the process.
- Don't go with gut feelings, go with the regulations!





